

Uses models:	Yes
Uses documents:	No
Uses topic statements:	No
Emulates:	Pyramid Responsiveness Readability

	Initial summaries						Update summaries					
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i> (95% CI)	<i>rho</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>tau</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i> (95% CI)	<i>rho</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>tau</i>	<i>p</i>
Pyramid	0.974	0.000 (0.957 - 0.985)	0.933	0.000	0.785	0.000	0.950	0.000 (0.917 - 0.970)	0.873	0.000	0.695	0.000
Responsiveness	0.972	0.000 (0.954 - 0.983)	0.894	0.000	0.740	0.000	0.974	0.000 (0.956 - 0.984)	0.911	0.000	0.762	0.000
Readability	0.926	0.000 (0.878 - 0.955)	0.672	0.000	0.519	0.000	0.934	0.000 (0.890 - 0.960)	0.663	0.000	0.507	0.000

Table 1: System-level correlations (Pearson’s *r*, Spearman’s *rho*, Kendall’s *tau*) for catolicasc1, initial and update summaries, all peers.

	Initial summaries						Update summaries					
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i> (95% CI)	<i>rho</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>tau</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i> (95% CI)	<i>rho</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>tau</i>	<i>p</i>
Pyramid	0.967	0.000 (0.943 - 0.981)	0.902	0.000	0.735	0.000	0.825	0.000 (0.711 - 0.897)	0.804	0.000	0.607	0.000
Responsiveness	0.950	0.000 (0.913 - 0.971)	0.837	0.000	0.666	0.000	0.871	0.000 (0.783 - 0.924)	0.867	0.000	0.703	0.000
Readability	0.819	0.000 (0.702 - 0.893)	0.494	0.000	0.366	0.000	0.742	0.000 (0.587 - 0.845)	0.482	0.000	0.361	0.000

Table 2: System-level correlations (Pearson’s *r*, Spearman’s *rho*, Kendall’s *tau*) for catolicasc1, initial and update summaries, no models.

	Initial summaries			Update summaries		
	all	avg per topic	avg per assessor	all	avg per topic	avg per assessor
Pyramid	0.621	0.712	0.704	0.467	0.520	0.482
Responsiveness	0.478	0.619	0.608	0.401	0.455	0.425
Readability	0.403	0.555	0.511	0.257	0.397	0.361

Table 3: Summary-level correlations (Pearson’s *r*) for catolicasc1, initial and update summaries, no models.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	408
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	408
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

Table 4: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Pyramid. Initial summaries, models vs. non-models.

Table 5: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Pyramid. Update summaries, models vs. non-models.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	408
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	408
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

Table 6: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Responsiveness. Initial summaries, models vs. non-models.

Table 7: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Responsiveness. Update summaries, models vs. non-models.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	407
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

		A>B	A=B	A<B
		catolicasc1	A>B	408
	A=B	0	0	
	A>B			0

Table 8: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Readability. Initial summaries, models vs. non-models.

Table 9: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Readability. Update summaries, models vs. non-models.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	234	218	
	A=B	5	818	
	A>B			0

Table 10: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Pyramid. Initial summaries, non-model summaries.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	145	137	
	A=B	42	951	
	A>B			0

Table 11: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Pyramid. Update summaries, non-model summaries.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	216	236	
	A=B	5	818	
	A>B			0

Table 12: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Responsiveness. Initial summaries, non-model summaries.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	121	161	
	A=B	7	986	
	A>B			0

Table 13: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Responsiveness. Update summaries, non-model summaries.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	279	173	
	A=B	135	688	
	A>B			0

Table 14: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Readability. Initial summaries, non-model summaries.

		A>B	A=B	A<B
catolicasc1	A>B	227	55	
	A=B	98	895	
	A>B			0

Table 15: Discriminative power for catolicasc1 compared with Readability. Update summaries, non-model summaries.