Cold Start Knowledge Base Population at TAC 2014

Task Description

Version 1.0 of May 6, 2014

What’s New

There are two major changes in the Cold Start task for 2014:

1. **Slot Filling Variant**: A new variant of the task is available, in which a slot filling system is applied once on an initial set of queries, and again on any slot value found in the first round. The results of the two slot filling rounds will be concatenated and assessed as a whole. This variant is designed to make it easy for participants in the Slot Filling task to apply their work to Cold Start without needing to process the entire document collection.

2. **Inference and Provenance**: Multiple documents may now be used to support an assertion. This will allow assertions to be made based on inference over the document collection, rather than strictly on what is explicitly attested in a single document.

Introduction

Since 2009, the TAC Knowledge Base Population Track has evaluated performance on two important aspects of knowledge base population: entity linking and slot filling. However, the ability of a system to use these technologies to actually construct a knowledge base (KB) from the information provided in a text collection had not been exercised. The Cold Start task was designed to evaluate a system’s ability to do just that. Participants build a software system that processes a large text collection and creates a knowledge base that is consistent with and accurately represents the content of that collection. The knowledge base is then evaluated as a single connected resource.

In 2014, Cold Start will have two variants. The first, the Knowledge Base variant, is the same as the 2013 task; participants submit entire knowledge bases, without prior knowledge of the evaluation queries. The second, the Slot Filling variant, is designed to make it easy for sites with slot filling systems to participate in Cold Start. In this variant, the evaluation queries are distributed at the start of the task. Participants do not have to submit entire knowledge bases. Rather, they apply their slot filling system twice, the first time on the entry point for each query, the second time on each of the results of the first round. Further details on this new variant are given below.

The Entity Linking and Slot Filling tasks have done a good job of evaluating key components of knowledge base population. They do not, however, evaluate every aspect of an automatically generated knowledge base. Things one might like to know about such a knowledge base include:

- Are the entities in the knowledge base correctly tied to real-world mentions of those entities? The TAC Entity Linking task measures this.

---

1 The TAC organizing committee welcomes comments on this Task Description, or on any aspect of the TAC evaluation. Please send comments to tac-kbp@nist.gov.
• Are the facts and relations in the knowledge base accurate reflections of the facts and relations described in the source documents? The TAC Slot Filling task measures this.

• Are entity linking and slot filling correctly coordinated to produce a meaningful knowledge base? The TAC Cold Start task measures this.

• Can the knowledge base correctly perform inference over the extracted entities, such as temporal reasoning, confidence estimation, default reasoning, transitive closure, etc.? Cold Start is just beginning to measure this; it is designed to facilitate this kind of evaluation more thoroughly in future years.

We call the task Cold Start Knowledge Base Population to convey two features of the evaluation: it implies both that a knowledge base schema has been established at the start of the task, and that the knowledge base is initially unpopulated. Thus, we assume that a schema exists for the facts and relations that will compose the knowledge base; it is not part of the task to automatically identify and name facts and relationships present in the text collection. We will use the schema that is implicitly specified by the TAC 2014 Slot Filling task. Thus, the schema will include three entity types (person, organization and geopolitical entity) and forty-one relation types. For relations whose fills are themselves entities (such as per:siblings or org:subsidiaries), systems will be required to link that slot to the node in the submitted KB representing the correct entity. Slots whose fills are strings (such as per:title or org:website) will continue to use strings to represent the information.

Cold Start also implies that the knowledge base is initially empty. To avoid solutions that rely on verifying content already present in Wikipedia or other large data sources about entities, the queries used in Cold Start will be dominated by entities that are not present in Wikipedia.

Participating systems will receive the following inputs:

1. a knowledge base schema (described thoroughly in the documentation for the TAC Slot Filling task);
2. a document collection (a list of document IDs drawn from the TAC KBP corpus); and
3. the output of the BBN Serif information extraction system run over each of the documents in the collection.

From these, systems participating in the Knowledge Base variant will produce a knowledge base. This KB will be submitted to NIST as a set of augmented triples. Participating systems must tie each entity mention in the document collection to a particular KB entity node; in this way, the knowledge base can be queried without first aligning it to a reference knowledge base. Systems participating in the Slot Filling variant will also receive:

4. a set of evaluation queries (sequences of two slot filling queries to be applied in series).
For both variants, the results will then be evaluated by NIST. Evaluation of the Knowledge Base variant will start by applying the evaluation queries to the submitted knowledge base. Each will start at a character in a document (identified by the query’s <offset> tag), identify the knowledge base entity that has a mention that includes that character, follow a sequence zero or more relations within the knowledge base, and end in a slot fill. The resulting slot fills will be assessed and scored in much the same way as is now done in the Slot Filling task. For example, a KB evaluation query might ask ‘what are the ages of the siblings of the Bart Simpson’ mentioned in

Table 1. Entity-valued slots. Slots with asterisks represent relations that are newly defined for Cold Start because they are not part of the current Slot Filling task definition. The type qualifier of each relation (per, org or gpe) is the type of its subject, while the type qualifier for its inverse is the type of its object. A set of types means that any of those types is acceptable for that slot. All submitted slot names must use only a single type specification.

For both variants, the results will then be evaluated by NIST. Evaluation of the Knowledge Base variant will start by applying the evaluation queries to the submitted knowledge base. Each will start at a character in a document (identified by the query’s <offset> tag), identify the knowledge base entity that has a mention that includes that character, follow a sequence zero or more relations within the knowledge base, and end in a slot fill. The resulting slot fills will be assessed and scored in much the same way as is now done in the Slot Filling task. For example, a KB evaluation query might ask ‘what are the ages of the siblings of the Bart Simpson’ mentioned in

---

2 Many of the examples used to illustrate the Cold Start task are drawn from The Simpsons television show. Readers lacking a detailed working knowledge of genealogical relationships in the Bouvier/Simpson family need not agonize over what they have been doing with their lives for the past quarter century, but may simply visit http://simpsons.wikia.com/wiki/Simpson_Family.
A system that correctly identified descriptions of Bart's siblings in the document collection, linked them to the appropriate node in the KB, and also found evidence for and correctly represented the ages of those siblings would receive full credit.

**Schema**

The schema for Cold Start 2014 is derived directly from the Slot Filling task specification. Slot Filling defines forty-one slots. Twenty-six of these have fills that are themselves entities, as shown in Table 1. The remaining fifteen slots have string fills, as shown in Table 2. Each entity-valued slot will have an inverse. Some slots, such as `per:siblings`, are symmetric. Others, such as `per:parents`, have inverses that are already Slot Filling task slots (in this case, `per:children`). The remaining slots (e.g., `org:founded_by`) have no corresponding slot in the Slot Filling task; Cold Start specifies new slot names for these inverses. All such newly-introduced slots are list-valued. All inverse relations must be explicitly identified in the submitted knowledge base. That is, if the KB asserts that relation R holds between entities A and B, then it must also assert that relation R⁻¹ holds between B and A. Please see the Slot Filling task guidelines ([http://surdeanu.info/kbp2013/def.php](http://surdeanu.info/kbp2013/def.php)) for a complete description of and assessment criteria for each slot.

**Document Collection**

The Cold Start document collection will comprise an approximately 30,000 to 100,000 document subset of the TAC 2014 document collection. It will be distributed as a file of document IDs, one per line. Provenance for submitted relations must be drawn from these documents for both variants of the Cold Start task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><code>per:alternate_names</code></th>
<th><code>org:alternate_names</code></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>per:date_of_birth</code></td>
<td><code>org:political_religious_affiliation</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:age</code></td>
<td><code>org:number_of_employees_members</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:origin</code></td>
<td><code>org:date_founded</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:date_of_death</code></td>
<td><code>org:date_dissolved</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:cause_of_death</code></td>
<td><code>org:website</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:title</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:religion</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>per:charges</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. String-valued slots.**
Evaluation Queries

Participants in the Slot Filling variant will receive a set of evaluation queries, which describe the starting points and slots to be filled. Participants in the Knowledge Base variant will not receive queries; rather, NIST will apply the evaluation queries to each submitted knowledge base and assess the results. An outline of the NIST assessment process applicable to both Cold Start variants is given below.

All evaluation queries start with an entry point into the knowledge base being evaluated. For the Slot Filling variant, these entry points will look like Slot Filling queries. For the Knowledge Base variant, an entry point is simply a character position in a document that corresponds to an entity mention in that document. For example, the position of the ‘S’ in a mention of Bart Simpson in Document 42 might be an entry point for an evaluation query. Given a knowledge base, the starting node in the knowledge base for a query will be the node that has a mention relation that includes the entry point. Because no character in the document collection may be part of more than one mention relation, this uniquely identifies a node in the knowledge base after submission if the KB includes such a node. The proper specification of mention relations in a KB is therefore important for scoring well; participants should therefore take care to ensure that every entity mention in the evaluation collection serves as a mention relation for a node in the KB.

Evaluation queries could take many forms. For example, a query that asked for slot fills for an entity mentioned in a particular document would look very much like queries for the Slot Filling task. For Cold Start, the evaluation queries will start from an entry point, select the corresponding KB entity, follow a single entity-valued relation (from Table 1), then ask for a single slot value (from either Table 1 or Table 2). For example, an evaluation query corresponding to the question ‘what are the ages of the siblings of the Bart Simpson mentioned in Document 42?’ would be of this form. Such queries will verify that the knowledge base is well-formed in a way that goes beyond basic entity linking and slot filling, without allowing combinations of errors to drive scores to zero. Note that unlike the Slot Filling task, each Cold Start evaluation query will ask for a specific slot, not all slots for which there is information in the document collection. Participants in the Slot Filling variant should treat all other slots as if they appear in the <ignore> field of a Slot Filling query. Here is a sample Cold Start evaluation query:

```xml
<query id="CS13_ENG_210">
  <name>June McCarthy</name>
  <doc id="1329120900-9a3b7ecd7de7db2562f719527ddee87e">
    <beg>16931</beg>
</query>
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>per:alternate_names</th>
<th>org:alternate_names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>per:date_of_birth</td>
<td>org:political_religious_affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:age</td>
<td>org:number_of_employees_members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:origin</td>
<td>org:date_founded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:date_of_death</td>
<td>org:date_dissolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:cause_of_death</td>
<td>org:website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:religion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per:charges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. String-valued slots.
Participants in the Slot Filling variant must treat `<slot>` as the slot to be filled. During the first round, `<slot>` will be identical to `<slot0>`. A script to convert a first round output file to a second round query file will be made available on the TAC Web site. Queries generated by this script will bear `<slot>` entries equivalent to `<slot1>`.

The NIST evaluation of a KB will proceed by finding all entries in the KB that fulfill an evaluation query. For example, if the evaluation query ‘schools attended by the siblings of Bart Simpson’ finds two siblings for the node specified by the entry point, and the KB indicates that those siblings attended two and one schools respectively, then three results would be assessed by NIST. These results will be converted to a form similar to the results of the Slot Filling task. Results will be pooled across all submissions (including Slot Filling variant submissions), and assessors will judge the validity of each result. Finally, a scoring script will report a variety of statistics for each submitted run.

**Task Output – Knowledge Base Variant**

Systems must produce a knowledge base as output. The first line of the output file must contain a unique run ID, which is a single token that contains no white space and no pound sign, and that does not begin with a colon. The remainder of the KB is represented as a set of augmented triples. Assertions will appear, one-per-line, in tab-separated format. The output file will be automatically converted to RDF statements during evaluation. All output must be encoded in UTF-8.

Each triple appears in the output file in subject-predicate-object order. For example, to indicate that entity-4 has entity-7 as a sibling, the triple might be:

```
:e4  per:siblings :e7
```

If entity-4 has siblings in addition to entity-7, these relations should be entered as separate triples.

**Entities**

Each entity specification begins with a colon, followed by a sequence of letters, digits and underscores. Examples of legal entity specifications include :Entity42, :EE74_R29, and :there_were_two_muffins_in_the_oven. No meaning is ascribed to this sequence by the evaluation software; it is used only as a unique identifier. Any subsequent use of the same colon-preceded sequence will be taken as a reference to the same entity.

**Predicates**

The legal predicates are those shown in Table 1 (including inverses) and Table 2, plus type, mention, and canonical_mention. Predicates found in Table 1 must have entity specifications in both the subject and object positions. Predicates found in Table 2 must have an entity specification in the subject slot, and a double quote-delimited string in the object position; the string in the object
position will exactly correspond with the slot fill for that relation in the Slot Filling task. A backslash character must precede any occurrence of a double quote or a backslash in such a string.\(^3\)

Each entity will be the subject of exactly one type triple. The object of that triple will be either PER, ORG or GPE depending on the type of the entity. It is up to submitting systems to correctly identify and report the type of each entity.

Each entity will be the subject of one\(^4\) or more mention triples. Together with the provenance information (see below), these triples indicate how the knowledge base is tied to the document collection. Each named entity mention in the collection should be submitted as the object of a mention triple. For example, if an entity is mentioned by name five times in a document, five mention triples should be generated. The object of a mention triple is the double-quoted mention string; document ID and offset appear under provenance information (see below). Mentions may not overlap. Put another way, no character in any document may be part of the object of more than one mention triple. Please see the documentation for the Entity Linking task for guidelines on named entity mentions.

In the Slot Filling task (and in the Slot Filling variant of the Cold Start task), all slot fills are strings. Assessors verify the validity of a slot fill by looking for that string in the specified document, using the provenance information provided in the system response. In a submitted KB, slots that are filled with entities hold not strings, but pointers to the KB structure for the appropriate entity. During assessment, the assessor must be presented with a string that represents such an entity. Thus, for each document that mentions an entity, one of the mention must be identified as the canonical mention for that document; it is the string that will be seen by the assessor when that entity appears as a slot fill, supported by that document (in Slot Filling task terms, it is the content of Column 5 of a submission, and its provenance will serve as Column 6 of a submission). Canonical mentions are expressed using a canonical mention triple. The arguments for canonical mention are the same as for mention. Note that there is no requirement that submissions select a single, global canonical mention for an entity. While such a name might be useful (and is a part of the current Entity Linking KB), here we require that a name be provided within each document for the assessor to use. Each canonical mention is also a mention. As a convenience, if a submitted KB does not contain a mention triple for each canonical mention triple, the missing relations will be inferred (albeit with a warning). This shortcut is provided to make submitted KBs easier to view, and does not relieve submitters from the requirement to provide each of the required mentions and canonical mentions. In 2014, a relation may contain more than one document in its provenance. At least one of those documents must contain a mention of the object of the relation; that document must therefore contain a canonical mention for the object. When selecting a canonical mention for presentation to the assessor, the first document appearing in the provenance that contains a mention of the object will be used for the canonical mention.

At least one instance of each unique subject-predicate-object triple will be evaluated. If more than one instance of a given triple appears in the output (with each triple having different provenance), LDC will assess the instance with the highest confidence value (see below), and will assess additional instances if resources allow. If more than one such triple shares the same confidence value, the triple that appears earlier in the output will be considered to have higher confidence.

---

3 Each backslash used to quote the following character doesn’t itself have to be preceded by another backslash.

4 While unmentioned but inferred entities may play a role in future TAC evaluations, Cold Start 2014 will work only with entities that have named mentions.
**Task Output – Slot Filling Variant**

Output for the Slot Filling variant will be in the form of a tab-separated file identical to submissions to the Slot Filling task (See Task Description for English Slot Filling at TAC-KBP 2014). The columns of the submitted file are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
<th>Column 4</th>
<th>Column 5</th>
<th>Column 6</th>
<th>Column 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Query ID. For the first round, this is taken directly from the <code>&lt;query&gt;</code> XML tag. For the second round, this is drawn from the <code>&lt;query&gt;</code> tag of the query generated from the first round output.</td>
<td>The name of the slot being filled.</td>
<td>A unique run id for the submission.</td>
<td>Provenance for the relation between the query entity and slot filler, consisting of up to 4 <code>docid:startoffset-endoffset</code> triples separated by commas. Individual spans may comprise at most 150 UTF-8 characters. As in 2013, each document is represented as a UTF-8 character array and begins with the <code>&lt;DOC&gt;</code> tag, where the “&lt;” character has index 0 for the document. Thus, offsets are counted before XML tags are removed. Start offsets in these columns must be the index of the first character in the corresponding string, and end offsets must be the index of the last character of the string (therefore, the length of the corresponding mention string is endoffset – startoffset + 1). Entries containing the string NIL in this column will simply be ignored for Cold Start (thus, there is no requirement to generate such NIL entries, as there is in the Slot Filling task).</td>
<td>A slot filler (possibly normalized, e.g., for dates). This is used both to populate the <code>&lt;name&gt;</code> entry of the next round query, and by the assessor to judge the slot fill.</td>
<td>Provenance for the slot filler string. This is either a single span <code>docid:startoffset-endoffset</code> from the document where the canonical slot filler string was extracted, or (in the case when the slot filler string in Column 5 has been normalized) a set of up to two comma-separated <code>docid:startoffset-endoffset</code> spans for the base strings that were used to generate the normalized slot filler string. The documents used for the slot filler string provenance must be a subset of the documents provided in Column 4. This column serves two purposes. First, LDC will judge Correct vs. Inexact with respect to the document(s) provided in the slot filler string provenance. Second, this column is used to fill the <code>&lt;docid&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;beg&gt;</code> and <code>&lt;end&gt;</code> entries in second round queries. If more than one provenance triple is provided here, the first one will be used to fill the second round query.</td>
<td>Confidence score.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task Output – Both Variants

Provenance

Each triple in Knowledge Base variant submissions and each output line in Slot Filling variant submissions will include a set of augmentations (again using tabs as separators). Except for the type slot (which does not require explicit support from a document) the first annotations will describe the provenance of the assertion. In previous years, Cold Start required three justification fields for subject, relation, and object. In 2014, up to four comma-separated justifications will be allowed for each entry, at the submitter’s discretion. Justifications no longer need to be explicitly associated with subject, relation or object. Each justification will include a document ID, followed by a colon, followed by two dash-separated offsets. This provenance specification follows exactly the requirements listed in Task Description for English Slot Filling at TAC-KBP 2014. The offsets that document the provenance of an extracted relation are used to narrow the assessor’s focus within the documents when assessing the correctness of that relation. In a change from the 2013 task, provenance for a single relation may now be drawn from more than one document. The rules for what constitutes a legitimate slot fill have not changed; please see the Slot Filling Task documentation for a complete specification of slot fill and provenance requirements.

Unlike entries for Slot Filling relations, the mention and canonical_mention slots will have only a single justification, representing the exact location of the mention in the text. The type slot requires no provenance.

To assist with this transition, the Cold Start validator script will convert a properly constructed submission file from 2012 or 2013 to 2014 format. Run the validator with the --h flag to see the appropriate switches.

Confidence Measure

To promote research into probabilistic knowledge bases and confidence estimation, each triple or slot fill may have an associated confidence score. Confidence scores will not be used for any official TAC 2014 measure. However, the scoring system may produce additional measures if confidence scores are included. For these measures, confidence scores will be used to induce a total order over the facts being evaluated (ties are broken when two scores are equal by assuming that the assertion appearing earlier in the submission has a higher score). Any submitted confidence score must be a positive real number between 0.0 (exclusive, representing the lowest confidence) and 1.0 (inclusive, representing the highest confidence), and must include a decimal point (no commas, please) to clearly distinguish it from a document offset. Confidence scores, if present, will appear at the end of each output line, separated from the provenance information with a tab. Confidence scores may not be used to qualify two incompatible fills for a single slot; submitter systems must decide amongst such possibilities and submit only one. For example, if the system believes that Bart’s only sibling is Lisa with confidence 0.7 and Milhouse with confidence 0.3, it should submit only one of these possibilities. If both are submitted, it will be interpreted as Bart having two siblings.

Comments

Output files may contain comments, which begin at any occurrence of a pound sign (#) and continue through (but do not include) the end of the line. Comments and blank lines will be ignored. The first line of an output file must contain the unique run ID (i.e., it may not be blank). Submitters may like to add a comment to this line giving further details about the run.
Examples

The following three lines from a Knowledge Base variant submission show examples of a triple without any annotations, one with only provenance annotation, and one with both provenance and confidence annotations.

:e4 per:siblings :e7 Doc124:180-181,Doc885:173-179 0.9

Here is an example line from a Slot Filling variant submission:

Q4 org:city_of_headquarters myrun doc42:3-8,doc8:3-11 Baltimore doc8:3-11 1.0

Differences between Slot Filling and the Cold Start Slot Filling Variant

Slot filling systems participating in the Slot Filling variant of Cold Start will need to handle the following differences between the two tasks.

- Only the slot specified by the <slot> entry is to be filled; all other slots should be ignored.
- Participants will need to do one round of slot filling, run the provided software to create the second round queries, then run slot filling again on the new queries. The results of rounds one and two are to be concatenated before submission.

Evaluation

Assessment

Cold Start 2014 assessment and scoring will be similar to Slot Filling assessment and scoring. The results for each assessment query (from both Cold Start variants) will be pooled, and each response will be assessed by a person. The result of following the first relation will be assessed as if it were a Slot Filling query (the canonical name of the object entity in the first supporting document that mentions that entity will be used for the slot fill for Knowledge Base variant entries). The second relation in the query will also be assessed as a Slot Filling query, but only if the fill for the first relation is correct. For example, if the query asks for the ages of the siblings of "Bart Simpson," and the submitted knowledge base gives "Lisa age 8" and "Milhouse age 10" as siblings, then only the reported age of Lisa will be assessed (Milhouse is not Bart’s sibling).

Cold Start uses pseudo-slot scoring, in which each evaluation query is treated as if it selects a single indivisible slot. For example, an evaluation query that asks for the children of the siblings of an entity will be scored as if it were a query about a virtual per:nieces_and_nephews slot. The Slot Filling guidelines specify whether each of the component slots of a pseudo-slot is single-valued (e.g., per:date_of_birth) or list-valued (e.g., per:employee_of, per:children). A pseudo slot is single-valued if each of its component slots is single-valued, and list-valued otherwise. In contrast to the Slot Filling task, Knowledge Base variant submissions may contain multiple fills for single-valued slots. If such are present in the submission, LDC will assess the slot fill with the highest confidence value, and will assess additional slot fills if resources allow. If more than one such slot fill shares the

---

5 A pseudo-slot is similar to the concept of a role chain, which is supported by some knowledge representation systems based on description logic, including OWL 2.
same confidence value, the slot fill that appears earlier in the output will be considered to have higher confidence.

As with the Slot Filling task, the object of each component relation that makes up a single evaluation query response is rated as correct, inexact, or wrong. Pseudo-slots will be scored just as slots in the Slot Filling task, with the additional constraint that both the slot fill and the path leading to that fill must be correct for the entirety to be judged correct. To receive credit for identifying Maggie Simpson as Patty Bouvier's niece, the knowledge base must not only include Maggie as the slot fill, but must also represent Maggie as Marge's child, and Marge as Patty's sibling:

\[
\text{Evaluation query: } \text{Nieces and nephews of Patty Bouvier (per:siblings, per:children)} \\
\text{Ground Truth: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MaggieSimpson} \\
\text{Submission: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MaggieSimpson } \Rightarrow \text{ correct}
\]

A KB that indicated that Maggie was Patty's niece because she was Patty's sister Selma's child would be scored as incorrect:

\[
\text{Evaluation query: } \text{Nieces and nephews of Patty Bouvier (per:siblings, per:children)} \\
\text{Ground Truth: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MaggieSimpson} \\
\text{Submission: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :SelmaBouvier} \\
\text{SelmaBouvier per:children :MaggieSimpson } \Rightarrow \text{ incorrect}
\]

A response is inexact if it either includes only a part of the correct answer or includes the correct answer plus extraneous material. No credit is given for inexact answers:

\[
\text{Evaluation query: } \text{Titles of parents of Bart Simpson (per:parents, per:title)} \\
\text{Ground Truth: } \text{BartSimpson per:parents :HomerSimpson} \\
\text{HomerSimpson per:title "Attack-dog trainer"} \\
\text{Submission: } \text{BartSimpson per:parents :HomerSimpson} \\
\text{HomerSimpson per:title "dog trainer Pitiless Pup" } \Rightarrow \text{ inexact}
\]

In addition, the object of the final relation in a pseudo-slot may be rated as redundant if it is equivalent to another fill for the pseudo-slot. No credit is given for redundant answers:

\[
\text{Evaluation query: } \text{Nieces and nephews of Patty Bouvier (per:siblings, per:children)} \\
\text{Ground Truth: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MaggieSimpson} \\
\text{MaggieSimpson per:alternate_names "Margaret Simpson"} \\
\text{Submission: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MaggieSimpson } \Rightarrow \text{ correct} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :MargaretSimpson } \Rightarrow \text{ redundant}
\]

However, objects of relations other than the final relation will never be rated as redundant:

\[
\text{Evaluation query: } \text{Nieces and nephews of Patty Bouvier (per:siblings, per:children)} \\
\text{Ground Truth: } \text{PattyBouvier per:siblings :MargeSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :LisaSimpson} \\
\text{MargeSimpson per:children :BartSimpson}
\]

---

[6] In each of these examples, only the subject, predicate and object are shown, and only a subset of the relevant knowledge base is presented. Each entity is named after the mention that gave rise to it.
Submission:

:MarjorieSimpson per:alternate_names "Marjorie Simpson"

:MarjorieSimpson per:children :LisaSimpson ⇒ correct

:MarjorieSimpson per:children :BartSimpson ⇒ correct

Here, Marge Simpson and Marjorie Simpson represent the same person in the ground truth, but two distinct entities in the KB. However, because the query is about Marge’s children and not about Marge herself, both responses to the evaluation query are assessed as correct.

Since in Cold Start the facts being evaluated come from sequences of triples, confidence scores would need to be combined if we wanted to generate confidence scores for a derived pseudo-relation. The proper way to combine scores of course depends on the meaning of those scores, and for now, Cold Start is not mandating any particular meaning. Three general score combination functions are min, max and product; we welcome comments from the community on which combination methods to report.

Scoring

Given the above approach to assessment, basic scoring for a given evaluation query proceeds as follows:

Correct = total number of system output pseudo-slots judged correct

System = total number of system output pseudo-slots

Reference = number of single-valued pseudo-slots with a correct response + number of equivalence classes7 for all list-valued pseudo-slots

Recall = Correct / Reference

Precision = Correct / System

F₁ = 2 * Precision * Recall / (Precision + Recall)

The $F_1$ score is the primary metric for the 2014 Cold Start Knowledge Base Population system evaluation.

As in 2013, each evaluation query in 2014 may have more than one instantiation (which will appear as separate queries in the query set). Each such instantiation will have the same relations, but will start at a different mention of the starting entity. To give equal weight to each evaluation query, the ‘Correct’ score for a single query will be the average of the ‘Correct’ scores for each of its variants. Put another way, evaluation queries will be macro-averaged across the variants.

Submissions

A two-week window from Monday August 4 to Monday August 18 will be available for downloading the Cold Start data, producing and submitting results. Systems should not be modified once the corpus has been downloaded. Participants may make up to five submissions, ranked in order of evaluation preference. The top-ranked submission must be made as a ‘closed’ system; in particular, it must not access the Web during the evaluation period. All submissions must obey the external

---

7 See the Slot Filling Task Guidelines for further information on how and when two slot fills are treated as equivalent.
resource restrictions in place for the TAC 2014 Slot Filling task. In addition, because Cold Start focuses on the condition where the knowledge base is initially empty, we ask that each participating site submit at least one run that consults external entity knowledge bases only after entities and relations have been extracted from the document collection. The number of submissions actually judged will depend upon resources available to NIST. Details about submission procedures will be communicated to the track mailing list. Tools to validate formats will be available on the TAC Web site.

Sample Collection

A sample Cold Start collection will be available from the NIST Web site (http://www.nist.gov/tac/2014/KBP/ColdStart/data.html) shortly. Note that this is not a training collection; it serves only to illustrate the various facets of the task and the evaluation. The sample includes:

- A file describing the collection (README.txt).
- A document collection, comprising seventeen documents drawn from the domain of *The Simpsons* television show. Each `<DOC>` tag includes the original Web source, of which the text in the collection is a snippet.
- A KB created from the collection. Note that a reference KB will not be created for the actual Cold Start task.
- A set of sample participant submissions, including a variety of errors.
- A sample set of evaluation queries for the Slot Filling variant.
- A sample Slot Filling variant submission.

Change History

- Version 1.0
  - Original version, based on the 2013 specification
  - Added Slot Filling variant
  - Added changes to provenance